

United States Patent and Trademark Office.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,767	10/30/2001	Edward M. Atkinson	226272003310	3324
25226 759	00 12/16/2003		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			HILL, MYRON G	
755 PAGE MILI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, (CA 94304-1018			TATER HOMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/016,767	ATKINSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Myron G. Hill	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a in ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tite, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ly (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	September 2003.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
Claim(s) 8- 34, 50, 51, 53- 92, 118, 119, 159- 162 and 178- 194 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 8- 21, 50, 51, 53- 92, and 178- 194 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22- 34, 118, 119, and 159- 162 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
·- • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of 15.	nts have been received. Into have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into of the certified copies not exic priority under 35 U.S.C. irst sentence of the specific provisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of la	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to Amendment C filed 13 September 2003.

Claims 8- 34, 50, 51, 53- 92, 118, 119, 159- 162 and 178- 192 are under consideration.

Drawings

The Draftsman accepts the drawings filed 10/30/01.

Response to Amendment

Rejections and Objections Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8, 9, and 17- 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by O'Riordan (WO 97/08298).

Applicant's argument that the method as claimed is not anticipated by O'Riordan is found persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 10- 12 and 13- 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Riordan (WO 97/08298).

Applicant's argument that the method as claimed is not taught by or obvious over O'Riordan is found persuasive.

Claims 21, 50, 51, 53- 92 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Riordan (WO 97/08298) and Graham (*J. Gen. Virol*.1987, vol. 68 pages 937- 940) and/or further in view of Shenk (US Pat 5,346,146)

Applicant's argument that the method as claimed is not taught by or obvious over O'Riordan and Graham is found persuasive.

Rejections Maintained

Double Patenting

Claims 22- 34, 118, 119, and 159- 162 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 126 and 159- 162 of allowed U.S. Patent Application No. 09/526,333. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, the claims are not patentably distinct from each other because AAV is purified from the supernatant without a lysis step using anion and cation chromatography.

Applicants state they will address this issue after determination of allowable claims.

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant's arguments have been considered and not found persuasive.

The rejection is maintained but allowable subject matter is indicated below.

New Rejections

Double Patenting

Claim 118 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 117 and 118 of copending Application No. 10/020482. Both sets of claims are drawn to a method of generating a population of rAAV by culturing the producer cells under sub-lethal stress and purifying the rAAV by means of chromatography and filtration. Because of the open language of the instant claim, the claims encompass the copending claim 118. The limitations of cancelled claim 117 in this application have been included in claim 118 to make the instant claim 118 independent and not identical in the context of statutory double patenting.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8- 34, 50, 51, 53- 92, 118, 119, 159- 162 and 178- 194 are allowed.

The claims are free of the prior art except as indicated in the double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 1648

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner December 12, 2003

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Myron G. Hill whose telephone number is 703-308-4521. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 703-308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Myron G. Hill Patent Examiner December 9, 2003

JEFFREY STUCKER PRIMARY EXAMINER